

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15533 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-156 Year-2024 Thana- Patarghat District- Saharsa

=====

Santosh Yadav Son of Late Chhedi Yadav Resident of Village - Utti
Navtoliya, Ward No. 15, P.S. - Patarghat, Distt. - Saharsa. Petitioner/s
Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashish Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 16-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner makes a prayer for bail in connection
with Patarghat P.S. Case No.156 of 2024, for offences under
Sections 126(2), 115, 103(1), 61, 354, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on
01.08.2024, one Rakesh Yadav had called the husband of the
informant, Madan Yadav from his house and subsequently, one
Ashok Yadav was overheard talking over phone that Madan Yadav,
who had gone along with Rakesh Yadav, had to be shot dead. After
hearing this, the informant ran to look for her husband and after
going at some distance, she saw that 7 accused persons were armed
with pistols and remaining accused persons, 8-22, were all carrying
sticks and lathis in their hands and they were beating her husband
with the intention to kill him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it



would be apparent from a bare perusal of the First Information Report that there is no allegation against the petitioner and the petitioner is named at serial number 5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was no motive or intention to kill the deceased as there was no enmity with him. The attention of this Court has also been drawn to the statements made in Paragraphs 12 and 13 that there was a dispute within the family of the deceased with regard to land and the same could have been the reason for the death of the deceased.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail application and has submitted that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of three cases.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount, each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-II, Saharsa in connection with Patarghat P.S. Case No.156 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--



