

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12576 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-426 Year-2025 Thana- HARNAUT District- Nalanda

Rajeev Kumar @ Rajeev Yadav Son of Ganga Vishun Yadav @ Gango Yadav
Resident of Village- Pachaura, Ps- Harnaut, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rudal Prasad, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Binit Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner; learned
counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Harnaut P.S. Case No. 426 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the brother of the informant (deceased) has gone to irrigate his fields and did not return. After sometime, his dead body was found.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. He also submits that the name of this petitioner has surfaced in this case during the course of investigation. One Pradeep Kumar has given his statement under Section 180 of the BNSS wherein he has said that he went with the petitioner, and along with one Triveni Yadav, they were wandering in the fields, and they disclosed to him that the deceased was electrocuted by a motor. He also submits that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it transpires that the same kind of statement has been given by this witness. In his statement under Section 183 of the BNSS and from perusal of the postmortem report, it transpires that the cause of death is neurocardiogenic shock and injury caused by electrocution. He further submits that from perusal of the postmortem report, it is clear that the deceased died due to electrocution and the only allegation against the petitioner is that he was seen wandering in the fields. He further submits that there is no eyewitness to the said occurrence. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 09.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Nalanda at Biharsharif in connection with Harnaut P.S. Case No. 426 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

