

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14504 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-206 Year-2024 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

Guddu Yadav @ Guddu Kumar S/o- Rajendra Yadav Resident of Village-
Narkatiya, P.S.- Bodhgaya, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shafiuddin Ahmad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Suman Kumari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard Mr. Shafiuddin Ahmad, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Ms. Suman Kumari

Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 206/2024 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections
341,448,324,325,323,307,504,427 of the IPC.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the
petitioner, along with other co-accused, with a common
intention to kill, assaulted the informant and his daughter.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely
been implicated in the present case. The petitioner and the
informant are cousins and due to a trivial issue they indulged



into hot talk which led to fight among them. Learned counsel submitted that though there is an allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted the informant on the eyebrow by means of a cycle chain, in the absence of any injury report on record, the petitioner denies having assaulted the informant in the manner alleged in the FIR. The petitioner has clean antecedent. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegations made in the FIR, I find that the informant and the petitioner are own family members and, due to a wall called “*dosti* wall”, they entered into a hot talk, in which the petitioner may have caused some injury on the person of the informant in his self-defence. The allegation of assault against the petitioner, that he has assaulted the informant on the eyebrow by means of a cycle chain, in the absence of any injury report on record, becomes of no significance. I am of the opinion that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be



released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending / Concerned Court in connection with Bodhgaya P.S. Case No. 206/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph No.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

