

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.855 of 2021

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4098 of 2020

-
-
1. Mukesh Kumar, S/o Binda Prasad, R/o Village-Akopwe, Post-Korawan, P.S.-Hilsa, District-Nalanda.
 2. Neelam Kumari, Daughter of Sri Kameshwar Prasad and wife of Sri Ashok Kumar, Resident of Village-Nehalpur, Post-Nehalpur, District-Jehanabad.
 3. Suresh Kumar, S/o Kushendra Prasad, R/o Village and Post-Nager Nausa, District-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principle Secretary, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar Patna namely Mr. Sanjay Kumar.
2. The Director Primary Education, Department of Education, Govt. of Bihar Patna namely Dr. Ranjeet Kumar Singh.
3. The District Magistrate, Nalanda namely Mr. Yogendra Singh.
4. The District Programme Officer, Nalanda, Mrs. Poonam Kumar Singh.
5. The District Education Officer Nalanda namely Mr. Manoj Kumar.
6. The Block Education Officer Hilsa, Nalanda namely Mr. Kailash Prasad Sah.
7. The Mukhia Gram Panchayat Raj Korawan, Hilsa, Nalanda namely Mrs. Preamsikha Devi.
8. The Secretary Vidyalay Sukh Subidha Committee Gram Panchayat Raj Korawan, Block, Hilsa, Nalanda namely Mr. Ram Naresh Prasad.
9. The Head Master Primary School, Manebigha Gram Panchayat Raj Korawan, Block Hilsa, Nalanda, namely Mr. Manoj Kumar.
10. The Head Master Primary School Kuseta, Hilsa, Nalanda namely Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.
11. The Head Master Primary School Phoolwaria, Hilsa, Nalanda namely Mr. Sanjeevan Prasad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalit Kishore, AG

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

3 12-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the



following relief(s):

“for intentionally violating and allegedly disobey the order dated 27.02.2020 in CWJC No. 4098 of 2020 the opposite parties passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar Upadhyay and also for not carrying of the same.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petition has become infructuous and as such, he may be permitted to withdraw the petition.

4. Permission accorded.

5. Accordingly, MJC No. 855 of 2021 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

