

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3378 of 2025

=====

Shweta Wife of Indrajit Kumar, daughter of Vinod Kumar Patel, R/o-
Bajrangbagh, P.S.- Hilsa, District- Nalanda Bihar- 801302.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s

Mr. Chandan Kumar Singh

Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh

For the BPSC

Mr. Rajni Kant Jha

For the State

:

Mr. Standing Counsel (23)

Mr. Madhusudan Rai, AC to SC 23

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 21-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the respondent-BPSC and learned counsel for the
respondent-State.

2. The petitioner, in the present writ application,
has prayed for quashing and setting aside the final District
allotment list dated 10th January, 2025 insofar as the
allotment of the District of the petitioner was/is concerned
and which had been published in pursuance to the School
Teacher Recruitment Competitive Examination (Advt. No.



22/2024) Class 9-10 Science subject, in which the petitioner being a successful candidate, has been wrongly allotted Madhubani District, instead of Patna District, which was her first District priority mentioned in the application form.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-BPSC points out that this writ application has now become infructuous for the reason that the petitioner has already joined Madhubani District, and is currently working there as a Teacher. This has also been confirmed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner had no option than to join the Madhubani District, because the last date for joining was already given and had she not joined, then further complications would have arisen. He, therefore, contends that the joining at Madhubani District is not voluntarily, but under compelling circumstances.

5. In light of the aforesaid circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this writ application may be disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority under Rule 18 of the



Bihar State School Teacher (Appointment, Transfer, Disciplinary proceedings and Service Conditions) Rules, 2023, which provides for filing complaints/appeals before the Regional Deputy Director of Education.

6. To the aforesaid submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsels appearing for the respondent parties do not have any objection.

7. Considering the submission made by the petitioner, which is not opposed by the learned counsels appearing for the respondent parties, this writ application is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate complaint/appeal before the Regional Deputy Director of Education for redressal of her grievances in accordance with Rule 18 of the Bihar State School Teacher (Appointment, Transfer, Disciplinary Proceedings and Service Conditions) Rules, 2023.

8. It is made clear that if such a complaint/appeal is filed by the petitioner within one month from the date of this order, the same shall be entertained and decided on merit by the concerned authority, within four months from the date of its filing, after giving an opportunity of hearing



to the petitioner. It is also made clear that in case the petitioner is found entitled to the relief(s), which the petitioner would be claiming through the complaint/appeal, the same shall be given to the petitioner within a further period of one month from the date of final decision on the petitioner's complaint/appeal.

9. With the aforesaid observation/direction and liberty granted, the present writ application stands disposed of.

10. All pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall also be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

HR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

