

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.848 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2851 of 2018

=====

Vijay Tiwari Son of Basudev Tiwari Resident of Mohalla- Indrapuri, Ward
No.14, Gopalganj, Police Station- Gopalganj, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Mr. Kumar Ravi, the Principal Secretary,
Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Dr. Nawal Kishore Choudhary The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. Mr. Sunil Choudhary The Chief Engineer, Building Construction
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Ranjan Kumar The Superintending Engineer, Building Construction
Department, Saran at Chapra.
5. Mr. Rajeevddhar Diwedi The Executive Engineer, Building Construction
Division, Gopalganj.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lokesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Zeeshan Kalim, AC to SC- 20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2026 Heard Mr.Lokesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for initiating the contempt proceeding
against the opposite parties who have
willfully and deliberately disobeyed the
order dated 07.05.2018 passed in
C.W.J.C.No. 2851 of 2018 whereby and*



whereunder the writ petition has been disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate, Gopalganj (Respondent No.2) with a fresh representation for redressal of his grievances, If any such representation is being filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving of petitioner's application. Any delay in payment of admitted amount beyond the stipulated period i.e. six weeks, shall entitle the petitioner to receive payment together with simple interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the admitted dues calculated from the date when the amount became due till the date of its actual payment. In case the petitioner's claim is found inadmissible whether in whole or in part, the petitioner's representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.



3. It has been informed by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the complete payment has been made.

4. In that background, the contempt petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

