

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14859 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2025 Thana- MUNGER MUFFASIL District- Munger

1. Nawal Yadav S/O Ramswaroop Yadav R/O Vill.- Karari Tola, P.S.- Mufassil, Dist.- Munger.
2. Dilkhush Yadav S/O Nawal Yadav R/O Vill.- Karari Tola, P.S.- Mufassil, Dist.- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

02. In the present case, the petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 12 of 2025 registered for the alleged offences under Section 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS.

03. As per prosecution case, on the orders of petitioner no. 1, petitioner no. 2 and other coaccused persons assaulted the informant and his brothers causing injuries to them. They also snatched Rs. 5000/- cash and mobile phone from the informant.

04. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The allegation against the petitioner no. 1 is that he gave



orders for assaulting the informant whereas allegation against petitioner no. 2 is that he assaulted the brothers of the informant with *lathi* but there is no injury report of brothers of the informant and even the injury report of the informant shows a lacerated wound of size 2"x1/2" on left arm and swelling of 2"x1" on right parietal area of skull. The injury no. 1 is stated to be grievous whereas the injury no. 2 is stated to be simple but the petitioners are not the authors of the injuries. Learned counsel further submits that due to previous enmity between the parties, the informant has lodged this false case and there are no eye witnesses. Learned counsel further submits that from the injury report it appears the informant was treated at 11:39 AM on 16.01.2025 whereas the allegation in the FIR is that the occurrence took place at around 12:00 noon which shows the falsity of the allegation against the petitioners. The petitioners are having antecedent of one case each and they are on bail.

05. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the submission made on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

06. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submission made on behalf of the parties and considering the non-specific nature of allegation against the petitioners and also considering the possibility of false accusation, let the petitioners above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the



court concerned within a period of eight weeks from today, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court of CJM, Munger in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 12 of 2025, subject to the condition laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioners.
- (ii) The petitioners will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Anuradha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

