

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13957 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-510 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

1. Sanjay Sah S/O Late Jyotik Sah R/O Village- Ashok Pakari, Tiwari Tola, P.S-Pipra ,District- East Champaran.
2. Parmila Devi W/O Sanjay Sah R/O Village- Ashok Pakari, Tiwari Tola, P.S-Pipra ,District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Ms.Nisha Verma
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Zainul Abedin- A.P.P.
	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Tiwari
	Mr.Mohan Kumar Tiwari
	Mr.Ujjawal Kumar
	Mr/s. Nidhi Shree

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109(1), 303(2), 329(3), 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner no.2 is a woman and the informant alleges that on account of dispute relating to land, petitioner no.1 assaulted her by Axe



causing injury on head and Pramila assaulted by an iron rod while named accused including petitioner no.2 snatched earring and Rs.10,000/-.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation itself, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that petitioners and the informant are agnates and are having dispute relating to land. It is also submitted that from the side of the petitioners also, Pipra P. S. Case No.508 of 2025 has been instituted by petitioner no.2 against the informant and her side, as such, the instant FIR is a counter-blast. It is also submitted that though it is alleged that petitioner no.1 assaulted the informant by Axe causing injury on head, but then, the blow was not repeated and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature and petitioners are not criminals and as far as petitioner no.2 is concerned, the allegation against her is ornamental.

5. Learned A.P.P. as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application, but then, are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners that the blow was not repeated and the injury has been opined to be simple in nature and petitioners are not criminals.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Pipra P. S. Case No.510 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

