

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13506 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1132 Year-2024 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Jamui

=====

Raja Kumar Mandal Son of Rameshwar Mandal @ Kameshvar Mandal
Resident of Village- Singardih P.S- Rikhiya District- Deoghar, Jharkhand

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dipak Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar
Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 159 litres of liquor from a Tata Magic vehicle. It is
next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as
such, nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and
he came to be implicated based on the fact that he is owner of
the seized vehicle. It is next submitted that no prudent person
would use his own vehicle for committing an occurrence and
thus, would create evidence against himself and hence, would



get implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that Rajiv Kumar Das would misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged, who was also apprehended from the spot.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail-bond in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Excise Court-II, Jamui in connection with Jamui Prohibition P.S. Case No. JAM 1132/24, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

6. The application stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after accepting the provisional bail bond of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioner for the purposes of obtaining



anticipatory bail had concealed his antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

