

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11935 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-331 Year-2024 Thana- CHAUSA District- Madhepura

Satyam Yadav @ Satyam Kumar S/O Late Jay Prakesh Yadav @ Late Prakash
Yadav R/o Village- Rasalpur Dhuria, P.S.- Chausa, District- Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chausa
P.S. Case No. 331 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable
under Sections 132, 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023, read with Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 and 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that there is
recovery of one loaded country made pistol and one country
made rifle and four live cartridges from the room of co-accused
Rahul Kumar and Rupesh Yadav.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from



the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner was not arrested on spot. The alleged recovery has been made from the joint house of the other co-accused. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no concern with the alleged recovery of arms. The name of the petitioner has been disclosed by co-accused Rahul Kumar. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that other co-accused has been granted bail by this Bench vide order dated 02.02.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 1893 of 2026. The petitioner is in custody since 26.12.2024 and has got four criminal antecedents. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail ***after framing of charge, if not already framed***, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned



Court in connection with Chausa P.S. Case No. 331 of 2024,
subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioner’s own or
close member.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date
fixed during the trial and shall not remain absent on two
consecutive dates without sufficient cause.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the
prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during
the course of trial.

In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions,
the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the
petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

