

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12996 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2023 Thana- NADI District- Supaul

Indal Kamat @ Indal Kumar Kamat S/O Kamal Kamat Resident of Village-  
Koriyapatti, P.S. Supaul nadi, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

## Versus

## The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Patla Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Meena Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      15-04-2026              **1.**    Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P for the State.

**2.** The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

**3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 252 litres of liquor from Mahhindra Bolero Maxi Truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone without holding a proper investigation, when petitioner admittedly is a person with clean antecedent.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Supaul Nadi P.S. Case No. 35 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

