

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11772 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-207 Year-2025 Thana- SARSI District- Purnia

-
1. Deepak Singh @ Deepak Kumar Singh S/O Late Ramanad Singh @ Ramanan Singh Res of Village- Jiyanganj, Rajput Tola, P.S- Sarsi, District- Purnea.
 2. Gautam Singh @ Gautam Kumar Singh S/O Dayanand Singh Res of Village- Jiyanganj, Rajput Tola, P.S- Sarsi, District- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bidhu Ranjan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

6 17-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sarsi P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 76, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS lodged on 17.11.2025 by the informant, Ramdeep Singh.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that due to land dispute and as there was objection, accused person resorted to assault. Allegation is that Sanjeev Singh gave axe blow on the head causing injury to the informant. As his wife came to rescue, allegation is that Shivam gave a rod



blow to her who also causing injury. Petitioner no. 2, Gautam Singh snatched the gold chain and all the accused person outraged the modesty of his wife. This led to the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that it is an exaggerated F.I.R., they are agnates and due to land dispute, scuffle took place. So far as the allegation part is concerned, while there is no allegation against Deepak Singh, allegation of snatching the chain is on Gautam Singh. No further role have been assigned to them. Further, they do not have criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that armed variously, all the accused person resorted to assault.

6. Taking into account the fact the submissions of the parties as also they do not have criminal antecedent nor assault theory have been assigned to them, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea,



in connection with Sarsi P.S. Case No. 207 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioners who shall provide official document (Aadhar Card/Voter Id/Driving License/Pan Card) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioners shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioners shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioners shall appear before the concerned police station every month for next six months to mark their attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds;

(v) the petitioners shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(vi) the petitioners shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds. 1

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/Shivangi

U		T	
---	--	---	--

