

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13522 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-240 Year-2024 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

1. Satyanarayan Yadav @ Satynarayan Yadav @ Satya Na. Yadav S/O Late Natai Yadav R/O Village- Raghunathpur South, P.S- Bhargama, Distt.- Araria.
2. Santosh Yadav S/O Late Natai Yadav R/O Village- Raghunathpur South, P.S- Bhargama, Distt.- Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      24-06-2026                      Heard Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP representing the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhargama P.S. Case No 240 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 126(2)/ 115(2)/ 109/ 74/ 352/ 351(2)/ 303(2)/ 118(1)/ 3(5) of the B.N.S. 2023 lodged on 03.08.2024 by the informant, Chanchal Yadav.

3. As per the persecution story, the informant alleged that the accused persons including these petitioners armed variously came and resorted to assault causing injuries/fracture to his arms/legs, when the wife and family members came to



rescue they were also assaulted injured were shifted Primary Health Centre, Bhargama whereafter the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that there is a case and counter case, both sides have suffered injuries and the allegation these petitioners is omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, on the other hand opposes the prayer submitting that the case diary and the injury report are on record which clearly show that the injuries on the injured have been found to be grievous in nature. Further, both the petitioners have criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also the facts that have come up in the case diary and injury report, no relief can be extended to the petitioners.

7. The anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

8. However, if the petitioners surrender within a period of four weeks and files bail application the Court concern shall take up the matter and dispose it of preferably on the same day.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

munindra/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

