

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13939 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-219 Year-2025 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District-  
Darbhanga

- 
1. Sanauddin @ Soney S/O Late Md. Asfaq Resident of Village- Chhapki Parri,  
Road No. 8 (A), P.S.- Sadar, Dist- Darbhanga
  2. Sitare @ Kamruddin S/O Late Md. Asfaq Resident of Village- Chhapki  
Parri, Road No. 8 (A), P.S.- Sadar, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar, A.P.P.

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      31-03-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),  
115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the BNS as well as  
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that  
petitioner no. 1 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner  
no. 2 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that  
he was at his shop on 08.07.2025, at 08:00 p.m., when he got an  
information that petitioners have come to his house and are  
abusing the female members and Sitare fired three rounds,  
accordingly, the informant along with his nephew was coming



back home when accused petitioners intercepted them and assaulted indiscriminately with lathi. Further, they also assaulted the son of the informant, namely, Noman and petitioner no. 1 also assaulted Noman by knife causing injury on finger.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that as far as petitioner no. 2 is concerned, no specific allegation of assault is alleged against him.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submits that there is specific allegation of petitioner no. 1 of assaulting Noman by knife causing injury on finger.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner no. 2, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Darbhanga Sadar P.S. Case No. 219 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid



down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner no. 1, namely, Sanauddin @ Soney in connection with the aforesaid case pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Darbhanga/Successor Court.

8. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 1 is rejected.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Kundan/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

