

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11708 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- Munger

Nikki Paswan @ Nikesh Kumar S/o Hagan @ Amika Paswan @ Ambika
Paswan Resident of Village- Khutahari @ Khuthri, P.O.- Rajpur, P.S.- Belhar,
District- Banka Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Saurabh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard Mr. Shashi Saurabh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Rabindra Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
05.01.2026 in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 184 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 02.12.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 103(1) of the BNS, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner has
called the informant's father and they both went away. On the
next morning, the dead body of the informant's father was
found. The informant suspects that this petitioner has killed his
father.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. It appears from the FIR that



petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of suspicion because the father of the informant was last seen with the petitioner on 29.11.2025. Thereafter, the dead body of the deceased was recovered on 30.11.2025 but the present FIR has been instituted on 02.12.2025 afterthought only to falsely implicate the petitioner. Except the suspicion, nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 05.01.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor on the basis of material available on record as well as case diary has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner was last seen with the deceased and apart from that number of witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution but fairly submits that nothing has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-IV,



Munger in connection with Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

