

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10880 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Mahesh Yadav @ Neta Ji S/O Rajdev Yadav Resident of village - Upadhya
Bigha, P.S.- Vishunganj, Dist.- Jehanabad- 804422

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12360 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Rahul Kumar Son of Ramrup Yadav Resident of Village- Erki, P.S.-
Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12869 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-337 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Pintu Kumar @ Kundan Kumar Son of Lal Bihari Yadav @ Lal Bihari Prasad
Resident of Mohalla- Devariya, P.S.- Jehanabad, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 10880 of 2026)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anmol Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12360 of 2026)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandni Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarun Prasad Mandal
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 12869 of 2026)
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chandni Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tarun Prasad Mandal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY



ORAL ORDER

3 26-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under section 140(3), 140(1), 103(1), 238, 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the uncle of the informant who has gone to civil court has not returned yet. It is further alleged that one *Xylo* vehicle having Registration No. BR.02M/2363 was being used for kidnapping him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are not named in the FIR. During course of investigation, their name has surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused person namely, Uday Yadav. There is no role of these petitioners in the alleged occurrence. During course of investigation, it has come that there was land dispute of the deceased with many persons. Nothing incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of these petitioners. Moreover, petitioner namely, Mahesh Yadav has been languishing in judicial custody since 03.06.2025 whereas petitioners namely, Rahul Kumar and Pintu Kumar are languishing in judicial custody since 06.05.2025.



Learned counsel has further submitted that other co-accused persons have already been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court as well as from this court vide Cr. Misc. Nos. 71587 of 2025, 69983 of 2025 and 77729 of 2025. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and has submitted that petitioner namely Mahesh Yadav and Rahul Kumar is having one criminal antecedent whereas petitioner namely, Pintu Kumar is having criminal antecedent of four cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The above named petitioners are directed to be released on bail in connection with Jehanabad P.S. Case No. 337 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

