

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11768 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2025 Thana- MANSURCHAK District- Begusarai

Nandlal Mahto Son of Late Muneshwar Mahto Resident of Village-
Sohilwara, P.S.- Mansurchak, District- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sabal Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Iftekhar Mahmood, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 74,
75, 125, 109 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 03.12.2025 and the informant alleges that on 07.09.2025,
at 04:30 p.m., Vikash caught her when she had gone to attend
the call of nature and on alarm Krishna and Sushila came to
save her when the accused persons including the petitioner came
and Basanti assaulted the informant by *musal* causing injury on
head while petitioner and Bibha assaulted Sushila causing injury
on right hand and Vikash assaulted Krishna by brick causing
injury below the left eye and snatched the gold chain of the



informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that since Vikash acted inappropriately with her on which she raised an alarm when family members of the informant came to save her thereafter the family members of Vikash also came and committed the occurrence. It is, thus, submitted that the thrust of the allegation is against Vikash. It is next submitted that petitioner along with Bibha is alleged to have assaulted Sushila causing injury on right hand but then the FIR does not disclose that by what the petitioner and Bibha assaulted Sushila. It is also submitted that injury of Sushila has been opined to be grievous but then petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two



sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned
Trial Court where the case is pending in connection with
Mansurchak P.S. Case No. 97 of 2025.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

