

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11940 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-441 Year-2020 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

Ranjeet Kumar @ Ranjit Kumar, Son of Anuj Chaudhary, Resident of Village-  
Gulgulia, Makhdumpur, P.S.- Ghoshi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Amit Anand, Advocate<br>Mr. Pushkar Prashant, Advocate<br>Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate<br>Ms. Priya Kumari, Advocate |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP                                                                                                     |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      08-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Ghosi P.S. Case no.441 of 2020 registered under sections 307, 342, 323,326 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code to which section 302 of the Indian Penal Code was added subsequently.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the five named accused persons surrounded him, started to assault him with *lathi*, *danda* etc. and one of them, whom he could not identify stabbed him with a knife. He lost consciousness and on waking up found himself in the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. No such occurrence as alleged in the F.I.R. has taken place. The allegations levelled are general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who submits that in a case of the year 2020, the petitioner was taken into custody only in November, 2025.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R. together with the material that has transpired in course of investigation and the petitioner having remained in custody since 27.11.2025, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Ghosi P.S. Case no.441 of 2020, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad on the following conditions :-

(I) The petitioner shall remain physically present in the learned trial Court on each date of the trial and shall cooperate in the trial.

(II) In case the learned trial Court is of the opinion



that the trial is being delayed due to non-cooperation on part of the petitioner, the learned trial Court may cancel the bail bond of the petitioner and take him into custody till conclusion of the trial.

**(Partha Sarthy, J)**

avinash/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

