

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3697 of 2022

-
-
1. Kumari Pramila Sinha W/o Arjun Yadav Resident of Village and Post-Ghorahari, P.S.-Chandi Belchi, District-Nalanda, Bihar-803110.
 2. Chandrawati Devi D/o Sachidanand Singyh Resident of Vilalge-Abdullahpur, Kanchanpur, P.S.-Faruah, District-Patna, Bihar-803201.

.. ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India The Union of India through its Secreary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
2. The Director, ICDS, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Shashtri Bhawan, New Delhi-110011.
3. The State of Bihar through its Secreary, BIhar, Patna.
4. The Additional Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, P.S.-Sachivalaya, Distt-Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, P.S.-Sachivalaya, Distt.Patna.
6. The Director, Intefrated Child Development Scheme, (ICDS), Directorate, Secretatiat, P.S.-Sachivalaya, Distt-Patna.

... ... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Roona, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Prasant Pratap (GP- 2)
For the U.O.I	:	Mr. Kanak Verma, CGC

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 11-05-2026 The petitioners have filed the instant application for
the following relief (s) :-

“1. (i) For a direction upon the Respondents to consider the case of the Petitioners for promotion to the post of Lady Supervisor in terms of policy of the government as contained in Annexure-5 whereby 50% posts of Anganbari Supervisor is to be filled up from candidates working as Anganbari Sevika by relaxing the upper age limit of 45 years for such promotion.



(ii) For quashing of part of Clause-V of the Resolution dated 10.06.2010 as contained in Annexure-1 whereby upper age limit for selection as Anganbari Supervisor has been fixed as 45 years and the same is also been applied for promotion from the cadre of Anganwari Sevika.

(iii) For declaration/clarification that the age limit prescribed between 18 years to 45 years is for new recruits for whom 50% posts of Anganbari Supervisor has been reserved and not for those who are to be promoted from Anganbari Sevika to Anganbari Supervisor against remaining 50% posts which are earmarked for promotion and further for declaration that there should not be any stipulation of age limit for promotion to the post of Angnawari Supervisor.

(iv) For a direction upon the Respondents not to disqualify the Petitioners for promotion to the post of Supervisor on the ground of having attained more than 45 years of age as constitutionally no age is prescribed for promotion specially when the process of promotion was undertaken long back and there has not been any promotion from the post of Anganbari Sevika to Anganbari Supervisor in last several years.

(v) Any other order/orders for which the Petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”



2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the prayer made in the instant application having become infructuous, she seeks permission to withdraw the instant application.

3. In view of above, the application is disposed of as withdrawn.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

