

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11464 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-763 Year-2025 Thana- MOTIHARI MUFASIL District- East
Champaran

Rocky Tiwari Son of Radha Tiwari Resident of Village- Nandpur, P.S.-
Muffasil Motihari, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Shantanu Kumar
For the Informant	:	Mr. Aditya Pandey Mr. Aditya Raj Singh Ms. Eashita Raj, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 03-07-2026 Heard Mr. Rama Kant Sharma, the learned Senior
Counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and the
learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 763 of 2025 registered for the offence
under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 308(5), 308(4), 119(1),
351(2), 324 and 112 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the FIR, the petitioner, along with others, is
accused of demanding extortion (*rangdari*) of Rs. 5 Crore.

4. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submits
that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any
offence. It is further submitted that, due to a partnership dispute,



this false case has been instituted against the petitioner. The petitioner has been in custody since 03.12.2025.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the bail application. It is submitted that the petitioner is a land mafia and a habitual criminal who captures land by use of muscle power. It is further submitted that most of the cases in which the petitioner is an accused relate to extortion and there are five such extortion cases against him.

6. The petitioner appears to be involved in demanding extortion. The allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. There exists *prima facie* material against the petitioner indicating his involvement in the commission of the offence.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence as well as the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands dismissed.

9. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations



shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case
and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any
manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

