

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12797 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-200 Year-2015 Thana- BELHAR District- Banka

1. Guddu Manjhi S/O Late Chanku Manjhi Resident of Village- Dumariya Musahari, Police Station- Belhar, District- Banka.
2. Nata @ Nata Manjhi @ Neta Manjhi S/O Gurudev Manjhi Resident of Village- Dumariya Musahari, Police Station- Belhar, District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Balram Kapri, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      08-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners have prayed for bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 471 of 2025 arising out of Belhar P.S. Case No. 200 of 2015 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner, along with others, has called the husband of the informant. She heard that a dead body was lying near the well and when she went there, she found the dead body of the deceased in the well.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have



committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the postmortem report also, it is clear that the deceased was not having any ante-mortem injury and *viscera* was preserved. He further submits that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Kaliya Manjhi, has been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court *vide* Cr. Misc. No. 43363 of 2016 and the case of this petitioner stands on a similar footing. He further submits that even if the prosecution story is assumed to be true, then also it is only a case of last seen. The chargesheet has already been filed. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioners have no criminal antecedent and they are languishing in judicial custody since 17.10.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned trial court.** The above named petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to



the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Banka in connection with S.T. No. 471 of 2025 arising out of Balhar P.S. Case No. 200 of 2015.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

Sudhanshu/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

