

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13034 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-179 Year-2025 Thana- DEWARIA District- Muzaffarpur

Mukesh Kumar@Dr. Mukesh Kashyap(Ram)@Mukesh Kashyap@Mukesh
Kumar Kashyap@Mukesh Kumar Ram, S/o- Chandrika Ram, R/v-
Chaturpatti (Khenpur Bhojpatti), PS- Paroo, District-Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Diwakar, Advocate Ms. Priya Kumari, Advocate Ms. Saheeba Naz, Advocate Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate Mr. Abhishek Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Dewaria P.S. Case no.179 of 2025, registered under section 105 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that he took his wife to the petitioner for treatment as she was suffering from pain in her knees. It is stated that the petitioner gave her an injection, however soon thereafter, her condition started to deteriorate. The petitioner escaped. On the informant taking his wife for further treatment to Dewaria his wife died on the way.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegation against the petitioner at best can be one of medical negligence, however the same is also not substantiated from the allegations levelled in the F.I.R. The allegation of the petitioner having run away from the clinic on the condition of the wife of the informant deteriorating is false and incorrect. Learned counsel submits that it is not the case against the petitioner that he is not a medical doctor but is a quack. So far as the statement recorded in paragraph no.39 of the case diary is concerned, it is submitted that the petitioner will produce his medical documents as and when required. The petitioner is in custody since 20.12.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the undertaking of the petitioner that he shall cooperate in the investigation and shall produce his valid license of practicing as a medical doctor together with the petitioner having remained in custody since 20.12.2025 and not having any criminal antecedent, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged



on bail in connection with Dewaria P.S. Case no.179 of 2025, on
furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with
two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the
learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, West,
Muzaffarpur.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

