

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12719 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2022 Thana- BIHIA District- Bhojpur

Niraj Rai @ Neeraj Rai S/o- Radha Kishun Rai R/v- Sunderpur Barja Ps-
Bihiyan Dist- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bibhakar Tiwary, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Rina Sinha, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State as also learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 379 of 2024, arising out of Bihiya P.S. Case No. 313 of
2022 instituted for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149,
341, 323, 307 & 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. This is the fourth attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide orders
dated 27.06.2023, 19.04.2024 & 24.07.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No. 25280 of 2023, Cr. Misc. No. 15504 of 2024 & Cr. Misc.
No. 46063 of 2025, respectively, with direction in the last



rejection order to renew his prayer after five months.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.11.2022 without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail. Learned counsel for the informant submits that only one witness i.e., the Investigating Officer is yet to be examined in the present case.

6. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the submission of the informant's counsel, it transpires that the trial is on the verge of its conclusion.

7. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again *rejected* with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.



8. The District Magistrate, Bhojpur and the Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur are also directed to take necessary steps to produce the witness on the date fixed in the Trial Court so that the trial could be concluded within the stipulated period.

9. Let this order be communicated to the District Magistrate, Bhojpur and the Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

