

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11314 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2026 Thana- GOH District- Aurangabad

Vinay Paswan Son of Dina Paswan Resident of Village - Berka, P.S. - Goh,
District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Goh P.S. Case No. 30 of 2026 registered for the offences under
Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Amendment
Act, 2022.

3. The prosecution case is to the effect that the police,
in course of patrolling, received information that the petitioner
has stored illegal liquor in his house. On search total 20 liters of
country made liquor was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case merely
because he is one of the residents of the said house where the
recovery has been made. It has further been submitted that the



house is a joint property and the petitioner cannot be singled out in for the recovery of the said liquor. It has next been submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated at the behest of the local chowkidar. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioner above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Goh P.S. Case No. 30 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- (ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- (iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in



violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

manoj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

