

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11147 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2025 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- East
Champaran

Niraj Tiwari @ Niraj Kr. S/o Arvind Tiwari R/o Village - Madhubani, P.S -
Sangrampur, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar No.Iii
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

- 2 24-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Sangrampur P.S. Case No.243/2025, registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 8, 20(B) (ii) (B) NDPS Act
and Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment)
Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of
recovery of 5.4 litres of liquor along with 1 kilogram of Ganja
from a place near the house of Chandra Mohan Tiwari. It is
next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in
the FIR, it would manifest that it was at the behest of this



petitioner being an informer that the place of occurrence was raided from where the alleged liquor and ganja was recovered but then Chandra Mohan Tiwari disclosed that the ganja and the liquor belongs to the petitioner, as such the petitioner came to be implicated and Chandra Mohan Tiwari was not even made an accused.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that it is not a case of liquor but then the allegation is of recovery of one kilogram of ganja from the place of occurrence also. It is also submitted that no doubt from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that it was at the instance of this petitioner that the place of occurrence was raided, from where the alleged recoveries were made but then the chowkidar informed the police that Chandra Mohan Tiwari is not involved in the occurrence rather the liquor and the Ganja belongs to the petitioner and since he has issues with Chandra Mohan Tiwari, as such he got him implicated by giving false information to the police. It is thus submitted that the petitioner came to be implicated based on the disclosure made by the chowkidar. It is also submitted that investigation in the case is continuing and petitioner has antecedent of one case and if petitioner is granted



the privilege of anticipatory bail, the petitioner may abscond.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

