

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11684 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-411 Year-2025 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

1. Ajay Bhagat @ Ajay Prasad S/o Rajinandan Bhagat R/o Village - Dhobauliya, P.S - Madhuban, District - East Champaran
2. Rambabu Bhagat @ Rambabu Prasad S/o Rajinandan Bhagat R/o Village - Dhobauliya, P.S - Madhuban, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                  |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Ms.Usha Kumari, Advocate         |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr.Satya Nand Shukla, APP        |
| For the informant        | : | Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate     |
|                          |   | Mr. Sharad Kumar Verma, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      28-04-2026                      Heard   learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners; learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 411 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Sections 191(2), 126(2), 127(2),115(2),109,76,303(2),329(3),324(4),352,351(2), 351(3) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused persons named therein including the petitioners assaulted the informant on her head, with an intention to kill, causing head injuries. The accused persons also assaulted the mother-in-law



of the informant and they also outraged the modesty of daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners. The injury sustained by the informant has been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and after perusal of the allegation made in the FIR, it is evident that the informant has sustained head injury, which is vital part of the body. **The learned District Court is directed to call for the opinion of the doctor with respect to the injury sustained by the informant and if it is found that the injury is simple in nature, as stated by the petitioner, the petitioners are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail on such terms and conditions, as the learned District Court deems fit and proper.**

7. The learned District Court is also directed to verify



the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of .

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Sanjay/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

