

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11097 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-23 Year-2026 Thana- AGAMKUAN District- Patna

Mantu Agrawal @ Sahil Son of Rajendra Prasad R/o- Pasnaur, P.S.- Gawan,
Dist.- Giridih (Jharkhand).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ram Jiban Pd Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in a case registered
under Sections 30(a), 32(3), 41 of Bihar Prohibition and Excise
Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on getting secret
information regarding illegal trade and business of illicit liquor,
the police party reached at the house of Suresh Yadav situated at
Brindavan Colony Jakariyapur Chhoti Pahari and saw that a
truck bearing Registration No. HP20-E-7115 was standing and
some cartoons were unloading from the truck. On search total
3948.480 litre illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the
godown. It is alleged that petitioner alongwith other co-accused
persons were apprehended on the spot.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that petitioner is neither the owner nor driver of the truck in question and he has no concern with the said godown from where the alleged recovery has been made. Learned counsel submits that petitioner was simply a passerby and only on the basis of suspicion he was arrested in the present case. He further submits that no incriminating article has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that there is violation of provisions of search and seizure and there is no independent witness to the seizure list. Petitioner has got clean antecedent and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence. Petitioner is in custody since 09.01.2026 and he undertakes to cooperate in the investigation and trial.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the nature of allegation against the petitioner and the fact that he has got clean antecedent and the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned in connection with Agamkuan P.S. Case No.23 of 2026.

(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)

Ritik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

