

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11060 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2025 Thana- ISUAPUR District- Saran

Jonha Devi W/O Late Jagdish Manjhi R/O Vill- Usarikala, P.S- Isuapur, Dist- Saran- 841443

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Avinash Kumar Pandey, Advocate
		Mr. Aashish Kumar, Advocate
		Ms. Akanksha Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Ishuapur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 87, 103(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the daughter of the informant, aged about 19 years, namely, Sulekha Kumari has fled from the house and after that she could not be contacted. On 22.11.2025, the informant received information that his daughter had been killed by Rajan Manjhi and his family members.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. She has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that actually Rajan Manjhi and the deceased have solemnized a marriage. There was a dispute between the couple and the mother of Rajan Manjhi, due to which they were living in a rented house. After the conciliation of the dispute, they have come to the house of their mother, where she has committed suicide. He also submits that from perusal of the postmortem report also, it transpires that the doctor conducting the autopsy of the deceased has found a hyoid bone fracture, no other injury on the body, and the cause of death is asphyxia due to hanging. He further submits that in this case, police have submitted a chargesheet under Section 108 of the BNS, and the learned trial court has also taken cognizance in the same section. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and she is languishing in judicial custody since 23.11.2025.

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by the learned counsel for the informant and the learned APP for the State. Learned counsel for the informant submits that it is not a fact that the deceased had solemnized marriage, but this



much is admitted that the deceased was living at the house where the petitioner and Rajan Manjhi were living. He further submits that while police raided the house, the petitioner could not give a satisfactory answer regarding the death of the deceased. This shows her involvement.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Saran at Chapra in connection with Ishuapur P.S. Case No. 193 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

