

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11509 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-712 Year-2025 Thana- HILSA District- Nalanda

1. Rajnandan Prasad Son of Late Hitan Gope Resident of Bhattbigha, P.S.- Hilsa, District- Nalanda
2. Chinta Devi W/o Rajnandan Prasad Resident of Bhattbigha, P.S.- Hilsa, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gautam, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pramod Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Hilsa P.S. Case No. 712 of 2025 for the offence punishable under sections 103(1), 238(a) of the BNS lodged on 08.10.2025 by the informant, Surendra Prasad.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant alleged that his grand-daughter (*Natni*) has been strangled to death. Accordingly, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners no.1 and 2 are grand-father and grand-mother of the deceased respectively, who have been implicated in this case for



having done to death his own grand-daughter who was residing along with them after the death of her mother who died 15 years ago. The case diary, in this case was called for on 24.02.2026 and from perusal of paragraph-8 of the same, there seems to be probability that the deceased might have committed suicide. One another witness, namely, Jugal Kumar, who happens to be one of the neighbors of the petitioners, is said to have stated that the mental status of deceased was not sound. Learned counsel further submits that these petitioners being grand parents have raised her for 13 years after death of her mother and how could they kill her own grand-daughter. It has also been submitted that the father of the deceased had left the house after the death of his wife and contracted second marriage and the victim/deceased was being brought up by these petitioners.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioners should have been very much careful while taking care of the victim as she is stated to be mentally not sound.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that, *prima facie*, it seems improbable that the grand parents could have killed their own grand-daughter after raising



her for thirteen years in consonance with the materials that has come in the case diary, this Court is inclined to extend them the privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. Let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ***Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1, Hilsa, Nalanda*** in connection with aforesaid PS Case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS as well as the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threaten the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to



*take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;
(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist
from committing any criminal offence again,
failing which the State shall be at liberty to
take steps for cancellation of his/their bail
bonds.*

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U		T	
---	--	---	--

