

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4267 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16033 of 2016

=====

Shambhu Nath Mishra, S/o Late Lakshmi Kant Mishra, Resident of Basauna,
Post- Basauna, Via Saharsa Kachari, Dist.- Saharsa, Bihar, Pin- 852201.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through Mr. Heeralal Samariya, Secretary, Labour and Employment Ministry, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
2. Mr. Heeralal Samariya, Secretary, Labour and Employment, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
3. Mr. Sunil Barthwal, Central Provident Fund Commissioner, New Delhi.
4. The State of Bihar through Nr. Deepak Kumar, the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Principal Secretary, Transport Department, Patna, Bihar.
6. The Bihar State Road Transport Corporation through Mr. Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Administrator, Birchand Patel Marg, Patna, Bihar.
7. Mr.Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Administrator, BSRTC, Patna, Bihar.
8. Mr. Arbind Kumar Choudhary, Chief Accounts Officer, BSRTC, Patna, Bihar.
9. Dr. V.P. Singh, The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, EPFO, R-Block, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Parijat Saurav, AC to AAG-10
For the EPFO	:	Mr. Prashant Sinha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Aniket Rai, Advocate
For the BSRTC	:	Mr. P.K. Verma, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr. Vijaya Laxmi Srivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 30-04-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to
remove the defect.

2. Heard the parties.

3. The present petition has been preferred for the



grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of contempt proceeding
against opposite parties for their deliberate and
intentional disobedience of the order dated
08.01.2018 passed by the Hon’ble Court in
CWJC No. 16033 of 2016.”*

4. The show cause has come on behalf of the opposite party no.9, the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner (Legal), Regional Office, Patna and the different documents all issued in the year 2018 shows that the payable amount has been credited in the account of the petitioner.

5. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioner to agitate the matter, if any grievance still remains, the application stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

