

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3346 of 2026

=====

Pramod Yadav Son of -Shabd Lal Yadav, Resident of Village- Jagdishpur, PS-
Manigachhi, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar.
3. The Bihar State Road Development Corporation, through its Nodal Officer, Executive Engineer, Deputy General Manager (Technical) PIU, Muzaffarpur.
4. The commissioner Tirahut Division, Muzaffarpur,
5. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga
6. The Executive Engineer Road Construction Department, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
7. The Director, Land acquisitions Directorate, Government of Bihar, Patna
8. The Town Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Darbhanga
9. The Land Acquisitions Officer, Darbhanga
10. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Darbhanga.
11. The Circle Officer Bahadurpur/Sadar, Darbhanga

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shivendra Kumar Sinha, Adv. Mr. Ranjeet Patel, Adv. Mr. Avnish Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Pratyush Mishra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P K Shahi, Advocate General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 24-04-2026 This writ petition has been filed by way of Public

Interest Litigation by 'Pramod Yadav' seeking following
reliefs:-

"i. To Quash the letter no.



138/LA dated 19.01.2026 issued by the District Magistrate, Darbhanga by which the alimnet of the elevated road which are the part of Amas-Darbhangha Expressway (Bharat Mala) has been changed in arbitrary manner which cause immenseness loss to the local people whose land has been acquired in new alimnet.

ii. To further command the respondents to construct the elevated road as per earlier alimnet of the Amas-Darbhangha Expressway.”

2. After going through the averments made in the writ petition, it is evident that the change of alignment depends upon expert opinion. If such a decision has been taken, it cannot be said to be *mala fide*, arbitrary in nature or against public interest. Since the concerned authorities are the best judges to decide such aspects, this Court should not interfere on such aspect. Accordingly, the writ petition, being devoid of merit, stands dismissed.

(Sangam Kumar Sahoo, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

