

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3119 of 2026

=====

Manoj Kumar Jha Son of Muneshwar Jha, Resident of Village-Nande Nagar,
P.S.-Rosra, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Addl. Chief Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Samastipur, District-Samastipur.
4. The Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Rosera, District-Samastipur.
6. The Circle Officer, Shivajinagar, District-Samastipur.
7. Raj Kumar Mahto, son of Ramchandra Mahto, Resident of Village-Sedukha, P.O.-Shadipurghat, P.S.-Khanpur, District-Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raja Ram Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General (05)
	:	Mr. Vishwambhar Prasad, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 13-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue direction upon Respondent no.4
to pass final order on the objection of the
petitioner filed on 02-05-2023 with regard to
payment of compensation on account of land
acquired by the Government for the purpose of
construction of NH-527-E measuring 0.3840*



Acre having Plot no.1239, Khata no.1395, Thana no.43 situated in Mauza- Karian, Circle- Shivajinagar, District- Samastipur as the Panchat no.32 has been wrongly and illegally prepared in favour of private Respondent Raj Kumar Mahto without going into the title documents and revenue records.

(ii) to issue direction upon the Respondent no.4 to hold the payment of compensation unless the objections of the petitioner is disposed of by the competent authority with regard to right title and interest over the land acquired by the authority as described herein above in para-1 of this petition.

(iii) to issue other order/orders/direction to the ends of justice.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the respondent no.4, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur alongwith all the relevant document to show his bonafide.

4. Learned State counsel submits that once he approached, he alongwith those who are also claiming including



respondent no.7 shall be heard and an appropriate order will be passed.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioner to approach the respondent no.4, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur who as undertaken by learned State counsel shall be noticing all the concerned parties and will take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest, preferably within a period of six months.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

