

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2908 of 2026

Uttam Kumar Son of Yogendra Yadav, R/o Village- Saidabad, P.O- Saidabad,
P.S- Rani Talab, District-Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Mineral Development Officer, District Mining Office, Patna.
6. The Mining Inspector, District Mining Office, Patna.
7. The Officer-in-Charge, Bikram Police Station, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prashant Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Madan Mohan, AC to SC-05
For the Mines	:	Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“(i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for quashing the arbitrary and illegal action of the respondent authorities in blocking/closing the petitioner's I.D. and password appertaining to its sand Storage License without any notice or opportunity of hearing.



(ii) For quashing the letter no. 5645 dated 07.10.2025, so far as it relates to the petitioner, by which a direction has been issued to take punitive action against all K-license holders for alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is false, baseless, and without evidentiary support, especially when no stock of sand existed at the petitioner's site at the relevant time.

(iii) For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the respondent authorities to immediately restore the petitioner's I.D and password and allow him to carry on lawful business under his valid license.

(iv) For holding that the alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is not all applicable in the case of petitioner.

(v) For issuance of such other order or direction as the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.”

3. At the outset, learned Spl.P.P., Mines Department submits that the petitioners should appear before the Mineral



Development Officer, District Mining Office, Patna (respondent no. 5) alongwith his reply/show-cause, if the notice has been received by him so that the matter is taken to its logical conclusion.

4. In that background, with the consent of the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the Mineral Development Officer, District Mining Office, Patna (respondent no. 5) alongwith his reply/show-cause and the concerned respondent is duty bound to take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

