

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3905 of 2026

-
-
1. Manish Kumar Son of Late Bajrangi Narayan Singh, Resident of Village-Gorgawa, P.S.-Kundwea Chainpur, District-East Champaran (Motihari).
 2. Niraj Kumar, son of Rajeshwar Thakur, Resident of Village-Gorgawa, P.S.-Kundwea Chainpur, District-East Champaran (Motihari).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Executive Officer, Building Construction Department, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate cum Collector of the District East Champaran x
4. The District Panchayati Raj Padadhikari, East Champaran, Motihari.
5. The Executive Engineer, Planning and Development Department (Planning and Development Department), Regional Area Engineering Organization Work Divisoin-2 Pakadidayal, East Champaran, Motihari.
6. The Block Development Officer, Block-Dhaka, District-East Champaran.
7. The Circle Officer, Dhaka Anchal, East Champaran, Motihari.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (24)
	:	Mr. Sudhanshu Shekhar, AC to SC-24

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 Heard Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioners and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) to issue rule in the nature of Certiorari
to quash the step taken by the Planning and
Development Department of the State of Bihar
contained in Annexure-P/3 to construct*



Panchayat Bhawan under Telhara Kala Panchayat over the land of the petitioner appertaining to the land of Khata No.41, Khesra No. 976 and 978, area 2 Bighas, 11 Kathas, 6 Dhurs and 18 Kathas, total area 3 Bighas, 9 Kathas, 6 Dhurs.

(ii) to issue Writ of Mandamus commanding the respondents not to proceed with the proposal for construction of Panchayat Bhawan over the land of the petitioner in arbitrary manner without verification and due consideration of the land to be the land of the petitioners.

(iii) to grant any other relief or reliefs to which the petitions are legally entitled, in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that a proper representation alongwith all the supporting document shall be presented before the Collector, East Champaran in next four weeks.

4. Learned State counsel submits that if such representation/petition is preferred, it will be taken to its logical



conclusion.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of allowing the petitioners to approach the Collector, East Champaran alongwith all the relevant document to show his bonafide.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

