

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11333 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-361 Year-2025 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

Jitendra Ram S/o Indrajeet Ram Resident of village - Pokhara, P.S - Kotwa,
District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Mr. Harsha Shashwat, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER**

5 13-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 103(1), 316(2), 61, 352, 353, 32, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Case of the informant, in brief, is that her husband used to work as a welder in the vicinity of their village but on 22.05.2024, on the inducement of the F.I.R. named accused persons, including this petitioner, husband of the informant went to work in Barauni in lieu of earning Rs. 20,000/- per month. On 30.05.2024, informant received an information on mobile phone that her husband was killed. Informant suspects that this petitioner along with other accused persons killed her husband by strangulation. In this regard, *panchayati* was also held twice but the accused did not attend the same and on 29.07.2025, they



assaulted informant with kicks and punches and threatened her with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is simply victim of false implication due to oblique and ulterior motive. There is no eye witness of the occurrence and only suspicion has been raised against petitioner. Post-mortem of the deceased has not been conducted and during investigation, no material has come to substantiate the allegation against petitioner. There is inordinate delay of about 1 year and 3 months in the complaint case as the alleged occurrence took place on 30.05.2024 but complaint case was lodged on 19.08.2025, which itself raises doubt over veracity of the prosecution story. Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the bail application.

6. Considering backdrop of the case, nature of accusation, delay in lodging the complaint case, materials available on record and clean antecedent, this anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest/surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on



furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Kotwa P. S. Case No. 361 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Navya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

