

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10572 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2025 Thana- ROH District- Nawada

Azad Manjhi @ Ajad Kumar S/O Prakash Manjhi R/O Village- Dularpur,
P.S.- Kadirganj, Distt.- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deepak Kumar, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRAVEEN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Roh P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 96 of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the daughter of the informant, who happens to be the victim of the case, aged about 17 years, left house on 16.06.2025, saying that she was going to market, but in return she did not return. After a search, informant came to know that this petitioner enticed away his daughter and abducted her with intention of marrying her, while brother of this petitioner, Bablu Manjhi, was also involved in the abduction of the informant's daughter.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Learned counsel of the petitioner has submitted that in the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS the victim has stated that she on her own sweet will, joined the company of this petitioner and went to Chennai and the petitioner had no hands in kidnapping and what was done under her consent. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that there is no element of “enticing way” away or “taking away” so as to constitute offence under Section 96 of the BNS and the victim is under verge of majority. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 04.10.2025

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the facts and circumstance of the case, as well as statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Nawada in connection



with Roh P.S. Case No. 211 of 2025.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Praveen Kumar, J)

niku/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

