

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15596 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2025 Thana- RAJPUR District- Buxar

Bhuwar Pandey @ Prem Narayan Shukla Son of Prabhunath Shukla Resident
of village - Mishrawaliya (Misrawaliya), Police Station - Buxar (M), District -
Buxar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate Ms. Priya Ranjan, Advocate Mr. Robin Kumar, Advocate Mr. Manish Rai Sharma
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Arun Kumar Pandey
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sitaram Mr. Robin Kumar Mr. Risha Rani Mr. Abhishek Anand Mr. Priya Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Rajpur P.S. Case No. 180 of 2025 registered for the offence
under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109,
103(1), 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section
27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against
the petitioner is that he, along with other co-accused persons
variously armed with rifles, pistols, and other firearms, came to



the place of occurrence and started indiscriminate firing, due to which three persons died on the spot and two persons were injured.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. The petitioner is in custody since 29.10.2025.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for the State and the learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail application and submit that the allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. It is contended that there exists *prima facie* material against the petitioner indicating his involvement in the commission of the offence.

6. I have considered the submissions of the parties and have gone through the records of the case. Nineteen named and three unknown persons made indiscriminate firing, resulting in the death of three persons and injuries to two others. The petitioner is one of the accused, whose name has surfaced during the investigation, indicating that he participated in the killing of the deceased.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner and others are involved in the killing of three persons and injuring two others, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the



petitioner. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands rejected.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

