

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11539 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-293 Year-2025 Thana- RAHUI District- Nalanda

=====

Veeru Banjara S/o Sanjay Banjara @ Kuri Bahjara R/o Village- Patasang, PS-
Rahui (Bhagan Bigha), District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Md. Mushtaque Alam, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 27-04-2026 Heard Mr. Pramod Kumar Sinha, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Akhileshwar Singh,

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and Mr.

Md. Mushtaque Alam, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Rahui P.S. Case No. 293 of 2025, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 74, 352,
351(3), 103(1) and 190 of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, petitioner
along with other co-accused persons, with a common intention
to kill, had allegedly assaulted the informant and his mother
causing injury, due to which, the mother of the informant died in
course of treatment. The occurrence took place on 25.05.2025



and in course of treatment, the mother of the informant died on 27.05.2025.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that specific allegation of assault is against one co-accused Nagmani Singh and allegation against the petitioner is that he had abused the mother of the informant, who died at a private hospital in course of treatment. No specific allegation of assault or any overt act is alleged against the petitioner. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted there is every likelihood that in course of trial, the petitioner will be convicted against whom, specific allegation is that he is the one, who had abused and asked the deceased to call one Pattu Kumar, otherwise, she would be killed.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has also vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the material collected in course of investigation, I find that the petitioner has not made



out a case that in course of trial, there is every likelihood that he will be acquitted. Accordingly, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on pre-arrest bail.

8. The present application stands dismissed.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

