

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12030 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2025 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Begusarai

=====

Vinod Ray @ Vinod Kumar Ray Son of Ram Prakash Ray Resident of
Village- Narharipur, P.S.- Bhagwanpur, District- Begusarai, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 17 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 corresponding to Sections 341, 323, 325, 307, 504, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that in the background of land dispute, the petitioner and other co-accused persons assaulted the family members of the informant. Main allegation against the petitioner is that he has assaulted with *farsa* on the head of Jaikrishna Rai.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the order of the learned trial court, it transpires that the learned trial court has recorded that on perusal of the injury report, it was found that injured Jaikrishna Rai had two stitched wounds and the NCCT brain shows injuries over the forehead and its nature was grievous. He further submits that a similar nature of allegation was against Gautam Ray, who has been granted bail by the learned coordinate bench of this court vide Cr. Misc. No. 85655 of 2025. He further submits that the petitioner and the informant are agnates. There is a land dispute, and in the scuffle, both parties have received injuries, though there is no countercase. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 03.01.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-II, Begusarai in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 17 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

