

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3565 of 2026

=====

Mritunjay Kumar Pandey Son of Karyanand Pandey, Resident of Village-
Rambagh, Nawada Road, Police Station- Manpur, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
4. The Additional District Magistrate, Gaya.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Gaya.
6. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar Gaya, District-Gaya.
7. The Circle Officer, Manpur Circle, District-Gaya.
8. Girja Rai, Son of Late Raghu Rai, Resident of Village-Sikhar, Police Station-Gaya Muffasil, District-Gaya.
9. Triveni Rai, Son of Late Raghu Rai, Resident of Village-Sikhar, Police Station-Gaya Muffasil, District-Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Manish Kumar No.2, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ravi Kumar, AC to GP (13)
		Mr. Akshay Lal Prasad, AC to GP-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 16-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) For setting aside the order dated
25.08.2024 passed vide memo no. 2805 by the
Learned Additional Collector, Gaya in Jamabandi
Cancellation Case No. 224 of 2020 - 21 whereby*



and whereunder the demand of the petitioner's land appertaining to Mauza - Kamalpur, Thana No. 312, Khata No. 72, Kheshra No. 41 under Jamabandi No. 327/2 admeasuring 0.04^{1/2} acre has been cancelled and the Circle Officer, Manpur Circle, District -Gaya has been directed to create Jamabandi in the name of the respondent nos. 8 and 9.

(ii) To stay the operation of the order dated 25.08.2024 passed vide memo no. 2805 by the Learned Additional Collector, Gaya in Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 224 of 2020

(iii) For any other relief / reliefs to which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. In the presence of learned State counsel, Mr. Manish Kumar No.2 submits that now the Bihar Land Tribunal is functional, liberty be granted to agitate the matter before the concerned Tribunal.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

5. If any petition is preferred within a period of four



weeks, the Tribunal shall look into the limitation petition considering that the writ petition was pending before this Court.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

