

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11529 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-533 Year-2025 Thana- TURKAULIYA District- East
Champaran

-
1. Arvind Sah @ Arvind Kumar Son of Ramji Prasad Resident of Belwa Rai, Pethiya Tola, P.S. - Turkauliya, District - East Champaran, East Motihari.
 2. Anand Kumar Son of Ramji Prasad Resident of Belwa Rai, Pethiya Tola, P.S. - Turkauliya, District - East Champaran, East Motihari.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate Mr. Dhandev Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Aditya Kumar Pandey, Advocate Ms. Pragya Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 27-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners; learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 533 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 303(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and 74 of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., petitioners along with other co-accused persons, had allegedly assaulted the informant and his mother causing injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Both the parties, who are agnate, were involved in dispute with respect to a piece of land, due to which, they indulged into free fight and as a result of heat of passion and spur of the moment, the petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant in their self defence without intention. As per the opinion of the doctor, the injuries sustained by the informant and his mother are simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the F.I.R. and the injury report, the petitioners may have caused some injury to the informant in his self defence without intention and as per the opinion of the doctor, the injuries sustained by the informant and his mother are simple in nature. There is case and counter case between the parties. Petitioners have clean antecedent. I find that the petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.



7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, East Champaran, Motihari, in connection with Turkauliya P.S. Case No. 533 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

8. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para-3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

