

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11985 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-69 Year-2025 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Mithlesh Kumar @ Mithilesh Kumar S/o Hari Prasad Rai @ Bikau Baitha @
Bikau Rai R/o Village - Bahilwara @ Gadha, P.S - Runnisaidpur, Present P.S -
Gadha, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XX W/o- YY R/o- Godha Ward No. - 10 P.S. - Gadha, District - Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vikash Kumar Jha, Advocate.
For the Informant	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 27-04-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP

for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 69 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 and 3(5) of the
BNS.

3. Allegation is of kidnapping the minor daughter of
the informant on the pretext of marriage.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that, for similar allegation, the informant,
who is the mother of the victim, had earlier lodged three cases



prior to lodging of the present F.I.R. It is further submitted that the petitioner claims that the daughter of the informant was in a love relationship with him and that both belong to the same village. Learned counsel also contends that the victim, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, has not supported the prosecution case.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and learned APP for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and upon perusal of the allegations made in the F.I.R., it appears that the informant had, on earlier occasions, also lodged three cases against the petitioner, prior to the present F.I.R., the details whereof have been furnished in paragraph 3 of the bail application. It further transpires that the statement of the victim, recorded under Section 183 BNSS in connection with the present case and contained in paragraph 32 of the case diary, does not support the prosecution story; rather, the victim has stated that she was in a love relationship with the petitioner and that the petitioner had not kidnapped her. In absence of any specific or substantiated allegation against the petitioner, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest



bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of eight weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 69 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C / 482(2) BNSS.

7. The District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases as what has been stated in Para- 3 of the bail petition, this order will automatically lose its force.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

