

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16072 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2025 Thana- SATHI District- West Champaran

=====

Firoj Alam @ Sheikh Firoz Alam S/O Nazmul Hoda Resident of Vill-
Katahari, P.S.- Sathi, Dist- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 04-05-2026 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Anand Kishore

Choudhary, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Sathi P.S. Case No. 251/2025 registered for the offence(s)
punishable under Sections 305, 317(5), 3(5) of the BNS.

3. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the informant seeks to file *vakalatnama* in course of the day.

4. Learned counsel is permitted to do so.

5. As per the allegation made in the FIR, upon
opening the school computer lab, several electronic items were
found missing, and on suspicion, petitioner Firoz Alam
disclosed possession of the stolen articles, which were
subsequently recovered from his house and the Anganwadi



centre run by his wife.

6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. From the F.I.R. it appears that the computer room was found locked and was opened by the informant himself, with no allegation of any forced entry, thereby indicating that only a person having access to the key could be involved. The alleged stolen articles were produced by the informant himself within the school premises and no incriminating material has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has been falsely implicated to shift the liability, and though he has no direct connection with the alleged occurrence. There is no documentary proof substantiating the purchase of the alleged articles, such as invoices or tax receipts. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Informant and learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

8. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR it appears that the computer room was found locked and



was opened by the informant himself, with no allegation of any forced entry, thereby indicating that only a person having access to the key could be involved. The alleged stolen articles have been recovered from the possession of the informant himself within the school premises and no incriminating material has been recovered from the petitioner. In absence of any material particulars regarding purchase of the alleged articles, such as invoice or tax receipt, I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

9. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending / Concerned Court in connection with Sathi P.S. Case No. 251/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

10. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application,



this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

