

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.14626 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-272 Year-2025 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

1. Tunnu Paswan S/O Shivpujan Paswan R/O Ghanshyampur, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna.
2. Bablu Paswan S/O Umesh Paswan @ Biran Paswan R/O Ghanshyampur, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna.
3. Biran Paswan @ Ankit Raj S/O Tunnu Paswan R/O Ghanshyampur, P.S- Naubatpur, Distt.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                     |   |                                   |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| For the Petitioners | : | Mr. Nawnit Kumar Tiwary, Advocate |
| For the State       | : | Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP      |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-04-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 118(1), 303(2), 352, 3(5) and 103 of the B.N.S..

3. As per prosecution case, all the F.I.R. named accused persons, including these petitioners, assaulted informant and her family members due to which they sustained serious injuries and while they had gone for their treatment at Referral Hospital, Naubatpur. Informant received information through a mobile call that the accused persons have also assaulted her son,



namely Santosh Paswan, who was present at the house and when the informant returned home after hearing the information, she found that her son in a seriously injured condition. It is further stated that Santosh Paswan had to undergo brain surgery due to the injuries sustained by him in the assault committed by these petitioners and due to the same, he died.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. From bare perusal of the F.I.R. it is apparent that informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and has not disclosed the source of information as to how she came to know about the involvement of these petitioners in the alleged occurrence. Moreover, the present F.I.R. has been lodged after inordinate delay of 6 days and there is no plausible explanation for the same, which itself render the entire prosecution case doubtful. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons have already been granted bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 passed in *Cr. Misc. No. 79505 of 2025*. Charge-sheet has already been submitted and Petitioner No. 1 is in custody since 24.11.2025 and Petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are in custody since 16.12.2025. Petitioners claim



clean antecedents.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, general and omnibus nature of accusation, claim based on parity, period of custody and clean antecedents of the petitioners, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VIth, Danapur in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 272 of 2025.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

shashank/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

