

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10500 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-277 Year-2025 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

Sonu Kumar Ram @ Sonu Ram S/o Jirekhan Ram Resident of village-
Haiderpur Purvai tola, P.S- Pandaul, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Subhash Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case No. 277/2025 lodged on 11.12.2025, for the offences punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 74, 76, 303(2), 329(4), 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been lodged against three named accused persons including the present petitioner. There is a specific allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted the informant with a lathi. It is further alleged that the petitioner entered into the house of the informant and snatched a gold locket worth Rs. 30,000/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is submitted that for the alleged occurrence dated 03.12.2025, the F.I.R. was lodged on 11.12.2025 with a delay of eight days and the same has not been explained. Learned counsel further submits that the injury report annexed with the bail application as Annexure P/2 reflects that the doctor examined the injured on 03.12.2025 and the case was noted to be that of a road accident. He submits that while it was acknowledged before the doctor that the injury was caused due to a road accident, after eight days the F.I.R. has been lodged making false allegations against three accused persons including the present petitioner. It is further submitted that though the petitioner is accused in one more criminal case, he has been granted bail in the said case.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail but submits that there are specific allegations in the F.I.R. against the petitioner.

6. From the perusal of the record and the arguments advanced by the parties, this Court finds that there appears to be a sharp contradiction between the statement made before the doctor and the allegations made in the F.I.R. Further, there is a delay of about eight days in lodging the F.I.R., which has not been explained, let the above named petitioner be released on



bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of ₹30000/- (thirty thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1) (d) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Madhubani, in connection with the aforementioned case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

