

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10603 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- RUPAULI District- Purnia

Alok Kumar Pandit @ Pintu, Son of Shri Kishor Pandit, Resident of Village-  
Gaddighat, Ward No. 14, P.S.- Rupauli, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      23-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with  
Rupauli P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025 registered for the offence  
punishable under Sections 189(2), 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2),  
118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the  
petitioner along with others being armed with *lathi, danda* arrived  
and started ploughing the field which was the ancestral property of  
the informant. It is specifically alleged that this petitioner has  
assaulted with iron rod on the head of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner  
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no  
offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further  
been submitted that there is also a counter version of this case.



There is land dispute between the parties and from perusal of the injury report of the informant, it is clear that he has received one lacerated wound on occipital region measuring 3"x1/4"x1/16" in diameter. The opinion regarding the injury is reserved. Other injuries are bruise. It has also been submitted that there is allegation against other accused persons also. Only allegation against this petitioner is that he has assaulted with iron rod on the head. It has further been submitted that there is no repetition of blow. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 01.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M. I/C, Purnea in Rupauli in connection with Rupauli P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

