

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9884 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-333 Year-2025 Thana- NAVINAGAR District- Aurangabad

Bittu Kumar S/o Sanjay Chandravanshi Resident of Village - Panti, P.S.-
Nabinagar, District - Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Binay Pal S/o Baiju Pal R/o Village - Pokhrahin, P.S - Nabinagar, District -
Aurangabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Bharat Bhushan, APP
For the informant	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 25-03-2026 Heard Mr.Bachan Jee Ojha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Bharat Bhushan, learned APP for the State as

also Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the

informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Nabinagar P.S. Case no. 333 of 2025 for the offence under
section 137 (2), 96 B.N.S. and under Section 8/12 POCSO Act
lodged on 22.11.2025 by the informant, Vinay Pal.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that the petitioner took
away the minor girl of the complainant for the purpose of
marriage. This led to institution of Nabinagar P.S. Case no. 333
of 2025.



4. Pursuant to the claim made by the learned counsel for the petitioner earlier that they have solemnized marriage and now living as husband and wife.

5. This Court wanted them to mark their presence while granting interim protection to the petitioner.

6. Today on call, it has been informed that the petitioner is not there, though, the victim girl is present.

7. This Court had conversation with the victim girl and she has supported the prosecution story and further informed that the statement earlier she gave, it was under coercion.

8. Though, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is a young boy of 20 years and is a student, learned counsel representing the informant submits that a minor girl was taken away without her consent.

9. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner which is accordingly rejected.

10. If the petitioner surrenders within a period of four weeks from today, the Court concerned shall see the fact that he is a young boy of 20 years, the criminal antecedent that reflects in para-03 has actually been lodged by the same informant while



deciding the case without being prejudiced by any of the
observation made herein and preferably on the same day.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

