

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2899 of 2026

=====

Shipra wife of Soumitra Singh, resident of Village-Rajauli, Police Station-
Rajauli, District-Nawada at present residing at Mohalla- Lohiyanagar, Police
Station- Patrakar Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Urban Development and Housing,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Bihar State Housing Board through its Managing Director, Patna
4. The Managing Director, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.
5. The Patna Municipal Commission through its Municipal Commissioner.
6. The District Magistrate cum Collector Patna.
7. The In charge Executive Engineer, Bihar State Housing Board, Patna.
8. The Circle Officer, Phulwari Sharif, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shakti Suman Kumar, Advocate
	:	Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Government Pleader (22)
	:	Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, AC to GP-22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ in
the nature of certiorari for quashing of the
notice no. 813 dated 31.12.2025 (as contained in
Annexure- P/4) issued by the respondent no.
7whereby and where under respondent no. 7
directed the petitioner to remove her house
constructed upon her raiyati land measuring*



about 7.694 decimal situated at Mauza-Jaganpura under Thana No. 26, Khata No. 37, Khesra No. 1258 on the ground that land in question is under acquisition of respondent Bihar State Housing Board.

(ii) for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus that during the pendency of the present writ petition operation of the impugned notice no. 813 dated 31.12.2025 (as contained in Annexure- P/4) may be stayed and the respondents may be restrained from taking any coercive step against the petitioner.

(iii) any other writ/writs, order/orders or direction/directions as the facts and circumstances of the case may require and deemed fit by this Hon'ble Court may also be issued.”

3. Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition with liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

4. Granting said liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

