

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12162 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-728 Year-2025 Thana- GOPALGANJ TOWN District-
Gopalganj

=====

Ranu Kumar @ Ayush Gupta S/o Paras Sah @ Paras Prasad Resident of -
Jangaliya Ward No. 18, P.S - Gopalganj, District - Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Harshvardhan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Anirudh Kumar Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-04-2026 Heard Mr. Kumar Harshvardhan, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State as well as Mr. Anirudh Kumar

Sinha, learned counsel for the Informant.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
29.11.2025 in connection with Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 728 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 30.09.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 3103(1), 61(2), 303(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay
Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to the prosecution's case, the informant



suspects that this petitioner got his son (now, deceased) murdered through unknown assailants when the deceased had gone to Gopalganj to visit a fair (mela) with his friend, namely Kush Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired during investigation on the basis of CCTV footage which is recorded in paragraph-83 of the case diary. Thereafter the confessional statement of the said Kush Kumar was recorded in which he stated a different story. He next submits that no one had seen the alleged occurrence, even co-accused had not seen the occurrence and CCTV does not support the allegation as alleged in the FIR or by the co-accused persons and except suspicion, no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 29.11.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submit that it



has come during investigation that petitioner was involved in the present crime in question and apart from that the petitioner carries two criminal antecedents but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Gopalganj, Bihar in connection with Gopalganj P.S. Case No. 728 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his



criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

