

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10321 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2025 Thana- KORHA District- Katihar

=====

Raj Kumari Devi W/o Laxman Rishi R/o Village - Hariabhir Bishanpur
Loharpatti, P.S - Korha, District - Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Korha P.S. Case No.132 of 2025, F.I.R dated 24.05.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, on 18.05.2025 he received a phone call informing him of his sister Sulekha Devi's death. Upon inquiry, he learned that she was allegedly murdered by co-accused Sandeep Rishi by strangulation. The informant's



family members went to her matrimonial home and informed the police, who sent the body for post mortem and thereafter handed it over for last rites. The informant further alleged that the named accused persons, including the petitioner Raj Kumari Devi, were also involved in the occurrence and used to quarrel with the deceased. After returning from outside the state, he submitted the application, on the basis of which the F.I.R. was lodged and investigation commenced.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, by referring to the F.I.R., submits that the specific allegation of pressing the neck and causing death of the sister of the informant is against Sandeep Rish, who is in judicial custody and the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased and is living separately. It has further been submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is ready to abide by the terms and conditions, in case privilege of anticipatory bail is extended in her favour.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances there is no specific allegation against this petitioner rather the allegations are general and omnibus and the petitioner has clean antecedent. Accordingly, this Court is inclined to grant the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M., Katihar, in connection with Korha P.S. Case No.132 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document/personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his/her/their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

sharun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

